Summary of Recommendations

General

- Patients with cGvHD should be reviewed by a team experienced in managing transplant-related complications. (1C)

- Transplant centres should establish a clinical network of specialists with an interest in GvHD to allow for multidisciplinary management. (1C)

- Assessment of quality of life is recommended in all patients with GvHD. (1C)

- Systemic treatment is the mainstay of therapy in patients with moderate or severe GVHD. (1A)
Cutaneous

- Referral to a dermatologist with experience in transplant dermatology should be considered in patients with moderate or severe cutaneous GVHD. (1C)

- All patients with cGVHD on prolonged immunosuppression should have an annual skin check by a dermatologist in view of the increased risk of cutaneous malignancy. (1C)

- All growing/non-healing skin lesions should be referred within 2 weeks to a dermatologist. (1C)

- Emollients should be used for symptom control in skin GvHD. (1C)

- Topical therapy including steroids or topical calcineurin inhibitors are recommended as first line therapies. (1B)

- ECP is recommended as a second line therapy for skin GvHD. (1B)

- Physiotherapy is recommended in patients with sclerodermoid disease. (1C)

Gastro-intestinal

- Referral to a gastroenterologist should be considered in patients with suspected gastro-intestinal GVHD. (1C)

- In view of the wide differential diagnosis, patients with diarrhoea without associated jaundice or rash suggestive of GVHD should be investigated by both upper (with duodenal aspirate and biopsies) and lower (flexible sigmoidoscopy and biopsy) GI endoscopy in preference to colonoscopy alone. (1C)

- All patients should be assessed and reviewed by a dietician with experience of managing patients with gut GvHD and each unit should have an agreed protocol for nutritional issues. (1C)
Genital

■ All patients should be actively questioned about genital tract symptoms. (1C)

■ Referral for specialist advice should be considered in all patients with difficult to manage genital symptoms. (1C)

■ High potency topical steroids (+/- topical calcineurin inhibitors) are recommended as first line therapy. (1C)

Liver

■ Referral for specialist hepatology opinion should be considered in patients with significant liver GvHD. (1C)

Ocular

■ Patients with symptoms suggestive of significant ocular involvement should be reviewed by an ophthalmologist preferably with an interest in ocular GvHD. (1C)

■ Patients on prolonged systemic steroids for cGvHD should be aware that their vision may be reduced if they develop cataracts and should seek ophthalmic advice if this occurs. (2C)

■ Supportive care with artificial tears and topical anti-inflammatory/antibiotic treatment may be helpful as first line treatment. (2C)

Oral

■ Referral to Oral Medicine should be considered in patients with significant oral symptoms. (1C)

■ Topical therapies including steroid mouthwashes are recommended as first line treatment. (2C)

■ ECP is recommended as second line treatment of oral GvHD. (1B)

Pulmonary
Referral to a respiratory physician should be considered in all patients with suspected pulmonary GvHD. (1C)

All patients with chronic GvHD should be screened with using pulmonary function tests regardless of symptoms. (1A)

Systemic steroids are recommended in patients with pulmonary GvHD at a dose of 1mg/kg of prednisolone and for those not responding consider pulsed steroids or imatinib. (2C)

Supportive care including intravenous immunoglobulin, vaccinations and azithromycin is also recommended. (2C)

Infection

Prophylaxis against viral, fungal, *Pneumocystis jiroveci* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infection should be considered in all patients receiving immunosuppression agents for cGvHD. (1A)

The prophylactic and/or pre-emptive strategy for prevention of CMV infection including regular monitoring of CMV PCR adopted for HSCT recipients should be continued throughout the period of acute and/or chronic GvHD. (1B)

A mould-active azole is recommended for prophylaxis in patients undergoing treatment for GvHD (1A); suitable agents include Posaconazole and Voriconazole (1A) or Itraconazole with regular monitoring of levels. (2B)

Vaccinations

Live vaccines must not be administered in patients with chronic GvHD. (1A)

All patients with cGvHD should receive vaccination against pneumococcus, influenza and *Haemophilus influenzae*. (1B)

Long Term Steroid Use

All patients on long-term steroid treatment should have blood pressure and glucose monitored at clinic visits and should receive gastric protection. (1A)
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A joint working group established by the Haemato-oncology subgroup of the British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH) and the British Society for Bone Marrow Transplantation (BSBMT) has reviewed the available literature and made recommendations for supportive care and management of organ specific complications of chronic graft versus host disease. This guideline includes recommendations for specific therapy of skin, oral, liver, gut, lung, ocular and genital manifestations of chronic GvHD and for the supportive care of these patients including vaccinations and prophylaxis against infection. The goal of treatment should be effective control of graft-versus-host disease while minimising risk of toxicity and relapse.
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1. Introduction

Chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGvHD) remains a major complication of allogeneic stem cell transplantation and is the leading cause of late non-relapse death (Lee et al., 2002). cGvHD is a complex disorder which can affect every organ system. The most commonly affected organs are the skin, eyes, mouth, lungs, liver, gut and genitalia. There is a lack of evidence-based data of the management of these complications and there is wide variation in practice. In the United States, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus development project has tried to address this issue by developing criteria for clinical trials in cGvHD which include a report on ancillary therapy and supportive care recommendations (Couriel et al., 2006). Similarly, the German-Austrian-Swiss working party on bone marrow and blood stem cell transplantation held a consensus conference to define clinical management of cGvHD in 2009 and have recently published several papers, some of which focus on organ-specific complications (Marks et al., 2011, Hildebrandt et al., 2011, Meier et al., 2010).

There are currently no UK guidelines outlining the management of cGvHD. This guideline aims to include practical recommendations for the specific therapy of the more common manifestations of cGvHD and for the supportive care of these patients. This guideline benefits from the expertise of both experienced transplant physicians as well as specialist physicians experienced in managing the organ-specific complications of cGvHD. The management of patients with cGvHD is known to vary widely between countries but this guideline aims to reflect national practice in the UK. A discussion of all possible late effects of allogeneic transplantation is beyond the scope of this document and this guideline will focus on specific management of patients with cGvHD. Some of the recommendations in this guideline e.g. those related to management of skin, liver and gut GvHD may be equally applicable to those patients with acute GvHD. The systemic treatment of acute and chronic GvHD is discussed in two separate documents (Dignan et al., 2012a, Dignan et al., 2012b). These guidelines are designed to be used together and to
complement each other in order to provide an evidence-based approach to managing this complex disorder.

2. Methodology

The production of these guidelines involved the following steps:

- Establishment of a working group comprising experts in the field of allogeneic transplantation and specialists in managing GvHD from the following specialities: dermatology, oral medicine, hepatology, gastroenterology, ophthalmology, respiratory, endocrinology, microbiology.
- Literature review to 17th June 2011 including Medline, internet searches and major conference reports.
- Development of key recommendations based on randomised, controlled trial evidence. Due to the paucity of randomised studies some recommendations are based on literature review and a consensus of expert opinion.
- The GRADE nomenclature was used to evaluate levels of evidence and to assess the strength of recommendations. The GRADE criteria are specified in the British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH) guideline pack and the GRADE working group website. See appendix 1. Further information is available from the following websites:
  - http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/index.htm
- Review by the BCSH committees, British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation (BSBMT) executive committee, the UK Photopheresis expert group and the UK Paediatric Bone Marrow Transplant Group.
- Review by sounding board of the British Society for Haematology (BSH) and allogeneic transplant centres in the UK

3. Principles of GvHD management

The NIH has classified cGvHD as mild, moderate or severe using a global scoring system (Filipovich et al, 2005). Mild cGvHD may respond to local treatments without the need for systemic therapy. Local, organ-specific therapies can also be a useful adjunct in the management of moderate and severe cGvHD. The diagnosis, staging and systemic management of cGvHD is detailed in a separate document (Dignan et al, 2012b). Systemic treatment is likely to be the mainstay of treatment in patients with moderate or severe cGvHD.

A multidisciplinary approach is vital in the management of cGvHD. The multidisciplinary team should include input from physiotherapists, microbiology, occupational therapists, dieticians, pharmacists and psychologists from the transplant centre. In addition, referral to specialist physicians may be helpful in patients with organ-
specific complications that are difficult to manage. Each transplant centre should try to establish a network of specialists with an interest in GvHD to facilitate the referral pathway.

Patients should be cared for by physicians with experience in managing transplant related complications. Transplant centres may find that it is helpful to have a dedicated late effects or GvHD clinic. Response to treatment should be documented at each clinic visit and formal staging by NIH criteria should be recorded every 3 months. It has been shown that quality of life can be severely affected in patients with cGvHD and it is important to make a formal assessment of quality of life using a validated questionnaire (Pidala et al, 2011). Suitable questionnaires for adult patients include the FACT-BMT, SF-36 questionnaire, EORTC QLQ-C30 and the Lee chronic GvHD symptom scale (Lee et al, 2002, McQuellon et al, 1997, Kopp et al, 2000, Pidala et al, 2011).

Recommendations:

- Patients with cGvHD should be reviewed by a team experienced in managing transplant-related complications. (1C)

- Transplant centres should establish a clinical network of specialists with an interest in GvHD to allow for multidisciplinary management. (1C)

- Assessment of quality of life is recommended in all patients with GvHD. (1C)

- Systemic treatment is the mainstay of therapy in patients with moderate or severe GVHD. (1A)

4. Cutaneous GvHD

4.1: Diagnosis and Management of Cutaneous GvHD

The diagnosis of cutaneous cGvHD requires diagnostic clinical signs and/or consistent skin histology. Cutaneous pathology is frequent following bone marrow transplantation and may be caused by infection, vasculitis, drug reactions, dermatitis or skin cancer. In cGvHD the skin integrity is damaged and this results in loss of skin function. The role of the skin as a barrier and in temperature regulation may be impaired. It is therefore imperative that following transplants all patients receive good skin care. Skin directed therapies are less toxic than their systemic counterpart and may lead to improvement in cutaneous lesions without systemic toxicities and can provide relief from cutaneous symptoms such as pain, swelling and itch. Skin-directed therapies are adjuvant or palliative in all but the mildest cases of cGvHD. There are no randomised controlled trials in this supportive approach but these therapies are used widely and regarded as best medical practice worldwide (Marks et al, 2011, Chavan et al, 2011, Couriel et al, 2006, Häusermann et al, 2008).
Cutaneous involvement with cGvHD may respond to skin-directed therapy. In patients with moderate/severe involvement or involvement of other organs skin-directed therapies may be used as adjuvant treatments to systemic immunosuppression and/or extracorporeal photopheresis. Patients with mild skin disease may be treated with skin directed therapy alone without the toxicities of systemic immunosuppression or loss of graft-versus-malignancy effect (Lee et al., 2002). When using skin directed therapy alone care must be taken to monitor for onset of GvHD in other organs. Referral to a dermatologist with experience in transplant dermatology should be considered in patients with moderate or severe GvHD. An annual skin check by a dermatologist is also recommended in patients with cGvHD on prolonged immunosuppression due to the increased risk of cutaneous malignancy in this group. In addition, urgent dermatology review is recommended in all patients with growing or non-healing skin lesions.

Emollients may be helpful for xerosis and both sclerodermoid and lichenoid skin may respond to topical corticosteroids which are recommended as first line treatment. Potent or very potent strength should be prescribed and massaged into affected areas twice per day. Improvements in lichenoid disease particularly erythema may occur within days whilst sclerodermoid disease is typically slower to respond (Couriel et al., 2006). Care should be taken in flexural areas where skin thinning and striae may occur with prolonged steroid use. Topical calcineurin inhibitors (tacrolimus and pimecrolimus) have also been shown to improve skin GvHD with erythema and pruritus responding first (Choi et al., 2001; Elad et al., 2003; Schmook et al., 2005; Ziemer et al., 2004). Whilst there is no risk from skin atrophy patients may report a burning sensation on application and there is an increased risk of cutaneous infection. There is a potential increased risk of skin malignancies and exposure to UV light during therapy should be minimised.

Phototherapy may be of benefit although the increased risk of skin malignancies in this group is significant as these patients have typically received chemotherapy prior to bone marrow transplantation and subsequently immunosuppression with ciclosporin. UV-B (290-320nm) may be useful in the treatment of lichenoid skin disease and is now more frequently given as narrowband (311nm) (Enk et al., 1998). UVA may be used, traditionally as PUVA (Psoralen plus ultraviolet A (320-400nm) (Atkinson et al., 1986; Epping et al., 1990; Ghoreschi et al., 2008; Jampel et al., 1991; Leiter et al., 2002; Vogelsang et al., 1996) but UVA-1 (340-400nm) is now used in a variety of sclerotic skin diseases including sclerodermoid GvHD. Response to phototherapy may take weeks and a course typically lasts 2-3 months (Calzavara et al., 2003; Grundmann-Kollmann et al., 2000; Wetzig et al., 2005; Ziemer et al., 2004).

Extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) provides response rates of up to 80% in patients with skin GvHD and is a useful second line treatment. ECP is discussed in detail in the guideline on systemic management of chronic GvHD and is recommended as a second line treatment option (Dignan et al., 2012b). The role of supportive therapies in cutaneous GvHD is reviewed in table 1. Physiotherapy may be a useful adjunctive treatment in patients with contractures due to sclerodermodid disease.
Table 1: The role of supportive therapies in cutaneous GvHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Supportive Therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xerosis</td>
<td>• Regular moisturisers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Substitute creams for soaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>• Advise tepid water rather than hot water for bathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Advise patients to rub rather than scratch skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consider occlusion of affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Topical corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Oral antihistamines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Doxepin 25-50mg nocte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gabapentin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema and oedema</td>
<td>• Topical corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Compression bandaging if adequate arterial blood flow and no cutaneous infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous malignancy</td>
<td>• Advise patients regarding self checking skin for tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Annual dermatology review for patients on immunosuppression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Advise on sun protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous infection</td>
<td>• Monitor for development of cutaneous bacterial infection, viral infections (Herpes simplex, Varicella zoster, Molluscum contagiosum and papilloma virus), fungal infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspigmentation</td>
<td>• May not resolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Trial of depigmenting creams containing hydroquinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Combination with topical tretinoin and corticosteroids may be helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Camouflage creams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Ulcers</td>
<td>• Establish cause (squamous cell carcinoma, infection, arterial or venous insufficiency, sclerodermoid disease)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| **Wound management** | • Compression if good arterial supply  
| **Consider referral to plastic surgeon** |
| **Dermatopathic contractures** | • Physiotherapy  
| • Massage of very potent topical steroids and topical calcineurin inhibitors may soften skin |
| **Dystrophic Nails** | • Massage of very potent steroids/or topical calcineurin inhibitors into nail cuticles  
| • Artificial nails |
| **Hair Loss** | • Early stage disease may be reversible  
| • Dermatology review, scalp biopsy and culture to exclude infection  
| • Dermovate scalp lotion once or twice daily  
| • Ensure adequate iron, vitamin B12, folate, zinc and vitamin D  
| • Artificial hair pieces |

**Recommendations:**

- **Referral to a dermatologist with experience in transplant dermatology should be considered in patients with moderate or severe cutaneous GVHD.** (1C)

- **All patients with cGVHD on prolonged immunosuppression should have an annual skin check by a dermatologist in view of the increased risk of cutaneous malignancy.** (1C)

- **All growing/non healing skin lesions should be referred within 2 weeks to a dermatologist.** (1C)

- **Emollients should be used for symptom control in skin GvHD.** (1C)

- **Topical therapy including steroids or topical calcineurin inhibitors are recommended as first line therapies.** (1B)

- **ECP is recommended as a second line therapy for skin GvHD.** (1B)
Physiotherapy is recommended in patients with sclerodermoid disease. (1C)

5. Gastrointestinal GvHD

5.1: Introduction

There are no typical symptoms which are diagnostic of gastrointestinal (GI) GvHD although the GI tract is commonly involved in acute GvHD. The only diagnostic feature of chronic GI GvHD is the presence of oesophageal strictures (Filipovich et al., 2005). Clinical experience shows that it is not uncommon to have multiple causes at the same time for gastro-intestinal symptoms, therefore if empirical treatment for suspected GvHD is not rapidly effective, systematic investigation is required and referral to a gastroenterologist should be considered.

5.2: Upper GI symptoms: dysphagia, nausea, vomiting and anorexia

GvHD may lead to a number of upper GI symptoms but other conditions should be considered including acid reflux, metabolic causes and infection. Bile reflux is a commonly forgotten cause of intractable nausea (often responsive to sucralfate suspension) and small bowel bacterial overgrowth may cause any upper (or lower) GI symptom including reflux, nausea, anorexia, epigastric discomfort, bloating and wind. Oesophageal or duodenal strictures occur rarely following stem cell transplantation. Guidelines for their management has been published by the British Society of Gastroenterology (Riley et al., 2004) and early advice from experienced endoscopists must be sought as endoscopic intervention in immunosuppressed and thrombocytopenic patients is a high risk procedure.

If no obvious cause for persistent nausea, vomiting or anorexia is found after endoscopy +/- radiology and trials of routine therapy have not helped, occult biliary sepsis or neurological disease should be considered.

Many endoscopists are not familiar with the spectrum of problems developed after stem cell transplantation and often appreciate guidance. Consider asking the endoscopist specifically to comment on the presence or absence of gastric bile reflux and specifically request that distal duodenal aspirates are taken and sent for microbiological culture as well as biopsies for histology.
**Step 1:**
Metabolic profile (including CRP, U&Es, LFTs)
Screen for Sepsis

**Step 2:**
Trial of full dose proton pump inhibitor
Add sucralfate suspension (1g qds) if no response

**Step 3:**
Early upper GI tract endoscopy
- Oesophageal brushings if evidence of possible fungal infection
- Multiple biopsies if any ulceration with specific request for viral stains including CMV and HSV
- Comment on presence or absence of bile in the stomach
- Send duodenal biopsies and distal duodenal aspirate for microbiology

**Step 4:**
If biopsies confirm GvHD commence systemic immunosuppressive treatment if not already started
Initiate treatment for any associated infection
If more distal small bowel pathology is suspected consider barium follow through/enteroscopy or capsule endoscopy
If extra-luminal disease or sepsis is possible consider cross sectional imaging with ultrasound or CT scanning

**Figure 1:** A management approach to unexplained nausea, retching, vomiting or anorexia
5.3: Management of loose stool

The systemic management of gastrointestinal luminal GvHD is discussed in the guideline on acute GvHD: diagnosis and management (Dignan et al, 2012a). Clinical experience suggests that other diagnoses not infrequently coexist. The cardinal clinical issue to differentiate is whether patients have diarrhoea or steatorrhoea. Causes for diarrhoea and steatorrhoea are indicated in Table 2 and require specific investigations. Patients with diarrhoea without associated jaundice or rash suggestive of GVHD should initially be investigated by upper GI endoscopy and flexible sigmoidoscopy and biopsy of the lower GI tract in preference to colonoscopy alone.

Table 2: Physiological causes for diarrhoea and steatorrhoea following stem cell transplantation, which if identified correctly may respond well to treatment even if the gastrointestinal tract is also affected by GvHD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common causes of diarrhoea</th>
<th>Useful / diagnostic investigations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GvHD</td>
<td>OGD and flexible sigmoidoscopy with duodenal and colonic biopsies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile acid malabsorption</td>
<td>Colonoscopy may be required as a second line test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate malabsorption</td>
<td>Lactose/ sucrose/fructose hydrogen methane breath test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation with overflow</td>
<td>Plain abdominal X-ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary problems (e.g. excess/inadequate fibre)</td>
<td>Dietetic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug side effects (e.g. mycophenolate mofetil, lansoprazole)</td>
<td>Drug levels/ stop drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine abnormalities</td>
<td>TFTs , Synacthen test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Stool culture / endoscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid intestinal transit</td>
<td>Correct anti-diarrhoeal usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Intestinal bacterial overgrowth</td>
<td>Glucose hydrogen methane breath test/ distal duodenal aspirate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common causes of steatorrhoea</th>
<th>SeHCAT scan (nuclear medicine)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bile acid malabsorption</td>
<td>Time limited trial of a low fat diet (c 50g/ day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal lymphangiectasia</td>
<td>Faecal elastase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic insufficiency</td>
<td>Glucose hydrogen methane breath test/ SI aspirate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI bacterial overgrowth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is also imperative to exclude infectious causes for diarrhoea as infection may coexist in patients with GvHD. The two most important treatable pathogens are cytomegalovirus (CMV) and *clostridium difficile* infection (10% are toxin-negative).
however, many other pathogens - amoebae, giardia, viruses such as HSV, rotavirus or adenovirus, bacterial pathogens and fungi - can cause symptoms.

One single stool specimen is sufficient for the detection of bacteria or toxins, however three separate specimens are required to exclude parasitological causes with sufficient diagnostic sensitivity. Early endoscopic assessment is also mandatory as stool culture may not detect viral infection, toxin-negative *clostridium difficile* or drug-induced colitis. The endoscopist must be specifically asked to take biopsies even if the endoscopic appearance is normal as the typical appearance of these conditions may be altered in people with reduced neutrophil counts. Occult anorectal sepsis may be an overlooked cause of morbidity. Clinical assessment by an experienced colorectal surgeon supplemented by MRI scanning can often be helpful.

In patients with established GvHD, in whom other causes of diarrhoea have been excluded, supportive care with anti-diarrhoeal agents including loperamide, codeine or octreotide may be helpful in addition to systemic immunosuppression.

**5.4: Nutritional issues**

Malnutrition and an elevated body mass index are both risk factors for adverse outcome after stem cell transplantation. A body mass index of more than 25 may be associated with an increased risk of GvHD (Deeg *et al*., 1995; Fuji *et al*., 2009). Malnutrition is associated with decreased overall survival and increased infection risk. Trained dietetic support is a standard for units performing transplantation. Pre-transplant patients should be assessed nutritionally as soon as possible and all patients with gut GvHD should be assessed by a dietician with experience of managing patients with gut GvHD.

Nutritional requirements will vary with age, gender, weight and severity of GvHD. Maintaining nutritional status may be very demanding. Acutely ill patients receiving parenteral nutrition need as a minimum twice weekly monitoring of phosphate, calcium, magnesium, bicarbonate and monthly assessment of selenium, copper and zinc. Supplemental vitamin D, E and B12 should be considered if parenteral nutrition is continued for several months.

Enteral nutrition is always to be preferred if possible to parenteral nutrition. Maintaining oral intake may be difficult. However, data from allogeneic transplant patients treated at home suggest that they maintain their oral intake better than patients in a hospital setting strongly suggesting that environmental factors for example quality, presentation, timing and temperature of the meal is critically important (Svahn *et al*., 2008).

Nasogastric feeding is more likely to be effective if started early before mucositis is established. The advantage of nasojejunal feeding is not established and many jejunal placed tubes will rapidly displace into the stomach. Nasogastric feeding is significantly less likely to be associated with systemic infection than parenteral nutritional and small but challenging studies have suggested that enteral feeding is associated with significantly less GvHD (Seguy *et al*., 2006). Unless there is severe
intestinal failure when parenteral nutrition with added glutamine should be used, oral nutrition with or without intravenous fluids is the best way to manage patients (Murray et al, 2009).

Recommendations:

- Referral to a gastroenterologist should be considered in patients with suspected gastro-intestinal GVHD. (1C)

- In view of the wide differential diagnosis, patients with diarrhoea without associated jaundice or rash suggestive of GVHD should be investigated by both upper (with duodenal aspirate and biopsies) and lower (flexible sigmoidoscopy and biopsy) GI endoscopy in preference to colonoscopy alone. (1C)

- All patients should be assessed and reviewed by a dietician with experience of managing patients with gut GvHD and each unit should have an agreed protocol for nutritional issues. (1C)

6. Genital cGvHD

Chronic GvHD of the vulva and vagina are well recognised. The incidence varies widely between published reports, with rates from 2% to 49%. It is likely that the incidence is underestimated in some reports where only those patients who reported symptoms were included. Stratton reported an incidence of 11% in a cohort of 266 female patients, while Antin reported an incidence of only 2% in 501 female patients (Stratton et al, 2007; Spiryda et al, 2003). In contrast, in a prospective surveillance program of 61 female patients Zantomio reported an incidence of 35% at 1 year and 49% at 2 years (Zantomio et al, 2006). Spinelli reported an incidence of 25% (Spinelli et al, 2003). In both of the latter studies the incidence of systemic cGvHD overall was very high (>80%). The association of cGvHD in other organs with genital GvHD is well recognised, in particular with sclerodermatous skin disease (Stratton et al, 2007, Zantomio et al, 2006). Some, but not all, studies suggest that genital GvHD is more common following peripheral blood stem cells compared to bone marrow (Flowers et al, 2002; Mohty et al, 2002).

Symptoms include pain, dryness, dysuria and dyspareunia. Clinical features include: erythematous patches, redness, mucosal erosions/fissures, retiform leukokeratosis (lichen planus-like lesions), vaginal synechiae and adhesions, complete vaginal closure or shortening and architectural changes due to sclerosis. Systems for grading GvHD into minimal, moderate and severe have been proposed (Stratton et al, 2007, Spinelli et al, 2003). The grade of genital GvHD does not necessarily correlate with the severity of the systemic GvHD.

The diagnosis of cGvHD may be easily made in the presence of characteristic features and evidence of systemic GvHD, however, histological diagnosis is strongly
recommended in the absence of systemic features, particularly as the pathological features will distinguish GvHD from a hypo-oestrogenic state, an important differential diagnosis. Infections should also be actively excluded in all cases (Couriel et al, 2006).

Female patients, particularly those with systemic cGvHD, should be actively questioned about vulvovaginal symptoms. Genital cGvHD is reported to occur late and thus may be completely avoided by preventive strategy and education in those with systemic cGvHD. Those with difficult to manage symptoms should be referred to a gynaecology service. Treatment strategies have been outlined by Couriel et al in the NIH ancillary therapy and supportive care guidelines (Couriel et al, 2006). These guidelines are predominantly based on evidence from non-randomised clinical studies or opinion, or from evidence in analogous disease. Simple preventative measures such as avoidance of irritants should be advised. Emollients applied to the external genitalia may relieve symptoms. Replens or other gels may be used in the vagina for comfort. Topical therapy with high or ultrahigh potency steroids (clobetasol/beclomethasone) or with immunosuppressive agents (e.g. tacrolimus ointment) is associated with a high success rate and is recommended as first line treatment. Zantomio reported improvement in 23/28 patients, while Stratton reported response rates of 27/29 after 4-8 weeks of therapy. More rapid healing has been reported with the addition of hormone-replacement therapy (HRT) (Stratton et al, 2007). Although surgery may be indicated for those with severe signs such as complete vaginal closure, the most recent reports suggest that surgery is completely avoidable using simpler measures such as dilators and/or oestrogen rings. Stratton et al reported that 11/12 women with vaginal synechiae responded to these interventions. In a minority of patients the symptoms of vulvovaginal GvHD may not be resolved by topical therapies and in such cases systemic immunosuppression may be required (Stratton et al, 2007).

GvHD involving the male genito-urinary tract or penis is far less commonly reported and the incidence is not well known. The literature consists predominantly of case reports. Chronic GvHD may involve the glans penis and foreskin. Inflammation may lead to scarring and fibrosis (causing phimosis) and features may resemble lichen planus (Marks et al, 2011). Topical steroids form the mainstay of therapy and surgery may be required for advanced cases (Au et al, 2008).

Referral to a specialist with appropriately experience in genital GvHD is recommended. Assessment and advice should include treatment of symptoms and signs, consideration of hormone replacement, screening for secondary malignancies and advice related to sexual health and function. It is recommended that links with local services (e.g. individual practitioners, sexual health clinics) are formed and that shared treatment pathways are agreed. The management of genital GvHD is summarised in figure 2.

Recommendations:
- All patients should be actively questioned about genital tract symptoms (1C).
- Referral for specialist advice should be considered in all patients with difficult to manage genital symptoms (1C).
- High potency topical steroids (+/- topical calcineurin inhibitors) are recommended as first line therapy (1C).

Figure 2: A flow chart to summarise management of genital GvHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevention:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genital hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration of oestrogen replacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptomatic treatment (mild):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emollients (external)/ lubricants (vaginal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First line treatment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High and ultrahigh potency corticosteroids e.g. clobetasol gel 0.05%, betamethasone gel 0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider topical calcineurin inhibitors e.g. tacrolimus 0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second line/resistant disease:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilator therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery for strictures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Liver GvHD

Liver involvement is very common in acute GvHD with around 50% of patients affected. It is estimated that 40-55% of patients with chronic GvHD have liver involvement although this is usually asymptomatic (Lee et al, 2002). The diagnosis and systemic management of liver GvHD is discussed in the accompanying guideline on acute GvHD (Dignan et al, 2012a).

Patients with liver involvement with GvHD should be reviewed by a hepatologist with an interest in GvHD wherever possible. The management includes augmentation of immunosuppression with high-dose corticosteroids (methylprednisolone 2 mg/kg per day or equivalent) still representing the main treatment. High-dose ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) (30-40 mg/kg per day) is helpful to stimulate choleresis and alleviate cholestasis and itching. Many centres now use UDCA on a pre-emptive basis due to its virtual complete lack of side effects (Ruutu et al, 2002). Standard supportive measures for management of liver failure such as intravenous vitamin K, spironolactone and albumin infusions with careful fluid balance planning should be in
place. Liver transplantation has been described in hepatic aGvHD (Rhodes et al, 1990) for worsening coagulopathy, jaundice and development of encephalopathy.

**Recommendation:**

- Referral for specialist hepatology opinion should be considered in patients with significant liver GvHD. (IC)

### 8. Ocular GvHD

The eyes can be involved in both acute and chronic GvHD but much more commonly in the latter. In addition, patients may have ocular side effects from the drugs used to treat systemic GvHD or the immunosuppression caused by them. Diagnosis is largely made on clinical symptoms and signs, with tests such as Schirmer’s test and tear break up time (which identify reduced amounts and quality of tears), being useful in early disease.

The eyes become involved in 25-45% of patients with cGvHD (Lee et al, 2002). Ocular cGvHD is particularly common in those with cutaneous or oral involvement but may also be the initial manifestation of the disease. No obvious associations have been found between the source of the stem cells and ocular disease. All parts of the eye may be affected. The commonest symptoms at onset are dry, gritty and painful eyes which are caused by inflammatory destruction of the conjunctiva and lacrimal glands causing tear deficiency and ocular surface damage. Secondary involvement of the meibomian glands leads to further reduced tear film quality and the possibility of superimposed infection. Conjunctival and corneal damage with scarring and subsequent permanent visual loss may result (reviewed in Kim, 2006). Patients with symptoms suggestive of significant ocular involvement should be reviewed by an ophthalmologist preferably with an interest in ocular GvHD.

Uveitis (intraocular inflammation) is less common and predominantly occurs during exacerbations of systemic GvHD (Wertheim et al, 2005). Cataracts may occur especially if systemic corticosteroids are required or local radiation given. Patients should be made aware of this complication and advised to seek ophthalmic advice if they notice a reduction in vision. In the retina a variety of complications may occur such as cotton wool spots, haemorrhages and optic disc swelling. Systemic use of ciclosporin may also contribute to these findings. Infectious retinitis from cytomegalovirus, *Herpes simplex* virus or *Varicella zoster* may also be seen if the patient becomes profoundly immunosuppressed from systemic medication.

There are no controlled trials of therapy for ocular GvHD and current treatment options are often unsatisfactory in patients with more than mild ocular surface dryness. Therapy consists of symptomatic medication such as artificial tears and topical anti-inflammatory/antibiotic therapy as required. Autologous serum tears have also be useful in some patients (Kojima et al, 2008, Chiang et al, 2007). Topical ciclosporin has been used successfully in small numbers of patients. If ocular lubricants are insufficient or are being used extremely frequently, lacrimal duct punctal occlusion (Ervin et al, 2010, Westeneng et al, 2010) may help. Attention is paid to the eyelids, keeping them clean and preventing eye lashes from abrading the
cornea if there is entropion from conjunctival scarring. Moisture chambers, retinoic acid, collagen shields and limbal cell transplantation have all been tried and in severe cases, amniotic membrane grafts and corneal transplantation may be required but they may not be effective in an eye which is very dry. Systemic immunosuppression may have an additional role in some patients (Westeneng et al 2010). The management of ocular GvHD is summarised in figure 3.

**Recommendations:**

- **Patients with symptoms suggestive of significant ocular involvement should be reviewed by an ophthalmologist preferably with an interest in ocular GvHD.** (1C)

- **Patients on prolonged systemic steroids for cGvHD should be aware that their vision may be reduced if they develop cataracts and should seek ophthalmic advice if this occurs.** (2C)

- **Supportive care with artificial tears and topical anti-inflammatory/antibiotic treatment may be helpful as first line treatment.** (2C)

**Figure 3:** A flow chart summarising the management of ocular GvHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye Symptoms:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refer to Ophthalmologist to identify cause of symptoms and establish if any visual loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dry Eyes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lubricants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat any associated lid disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Lubricants effective but need to be used frequently:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Try punctal plugs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Lubricants not effective:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topical ciclosporin and autologous serum eye drops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **Oral GvHD**

The oral cavity is frequently involved in cGvHD and is the second most common site involved after skin following peripheral blood stem cell transplantation (Flowers et al, 2002). Diagnostic clinical features include lichenoid features, hyperkeratotic plaques and restriction of mouth opening from fibrotic bands (Filipovich et al, 2005). Distinctive features include xerostomia, mucoceles, mucosal atrophy, mucosal erosion and ulcers. In patients with these features, the diagnosis can be made if cGvHD has
already been confirmed in another organ without the need for a further biopsy. Alternatively, if no other organs are involved or an alternative diagnosis is suspected, biopsy of the oral mucosa should be performed (Meier et al, 2010). Shulman et al have summarised the minimal histopathological diagnostic criteria (Shulman et al, 2006).

All patients with significant oral GvHD should be referred to a specialist in Oral Medicine. The treatment of oral cGvHD has recently been summarised following the German-Austrian-Swiss working party conference (reviewed in Meier et al, 2010). Topical steroids have been used in several studies and are recommended as first line therapy. Budesonide at a dose of 3mg dissolved in 5-10ml of saline has been found to be beneficial. (Elad et al, 2003; Sari et al, 2007). Response to topical dexamethasone was observed in a retrospective study of 16 patients (Wolff et al, 2004) and betamethasone rinse was beneficial in a case report when combined with systemic agents (Franca et al, 2001). Both budesonide and dexamethasone have significant systemic absorption whereas betamethasone 0.5% has mainly local effects in the oral cavity. Topical tacrolimus and ciclosporin have also been used in small series with some positive results (Eckhardt et al, 2004; Fricain et al, 2007; Albert et al, 2007; Sanchez et al, 2004; Epstein et al, 1994). A combination protocol using dexamethasone and tacrolimus was assessed retrospectively in 14 patients and was reported to reduce symptoms due to cGvHD (Mawardi et al, 2010). Extracorporeal photopheresis has been reported to be beneficial in the management of oral GvHD and is discussed in the guideline on chronic GvHD diagnosis and management (Dignan et al, 2012b).

Supportive care for patients with cGvHD includes twice yearly dental assessments, oral care protocols, infection prophylaxis and monitoring for the development of osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients receiving intravenous or prolonged oral bisphosphonates. Patients should be warned about the increased risk of oral malignancies and re-referred to an Oral Medicine specialist if malignancy is suspected. Artificial saliva or the administration of parasympathetic agents e.g pilocarpine may be beneficial for patients with hyposalivation (Singhal et al, 1997; Nagler et al, 1999). BioXtra gel® is symptomatically helpful especially for use before sleeping and Biotene ® moisturising drops during the day to facilitate talking and swallowing. Local anaesthetics may be helpful for pain control (reviewed in Meier et al, 2010). Both local anaesthetics and analgesics may include lidocaine or Difflam® mouthwash. Patients who experience pain while brushing their teeth may benefit from using toothpastes designed for sensitive teeth. Taste disturbance may be helped by sodium bicarbonate and water mouthwash or BioXtra® mouthwash. The management of oral GvHD is summarised in figure 4.

Recommendations:

- Referral to Oral Medicine should be considered in patients with significant oral symptoms. (1C)
- Topical therapies including steroid mouthwashes are recommended as first line treatment. (2C)
- ECP is recommended as second line treatment of oral GvHD. (1B)
Figure 4: A flow chart summarising management of oral GvHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevention:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral hygiene instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental and Oral Health review every 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection prophylaxis only when specific fungal, bacterial or viral agent is demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of the risk of oral malignancies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptomatic treatment (mild):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taste disturbance: sodium bicarbonate solution or BioXtra® mouthwash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use toothpaste for sensitive teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth: BioXtra® gel and Biotene moisturising drops®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesia: Difflam® mouthwash or lidocaine before meals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First line treatment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Betamethasone 0.5 mg in 10ml water used as a mouthwash for 2 minutes then expectorated three times daily. No food or drink to be taken for a minimum of 1 hour after mouthwash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider topical calcineurin inhibitors e.g. tacrolimus 0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second line/resistant disease:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Pulmonary GvHD

It is likely that the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome is under-diagnosed as it has been shown that 26% of allogeneic transplant patients and 30% of those with cGvHD developed airflow decline post-transplant (Chien et al, 2003). The onset of pulmonary GvHD can be insidious with slowly progressive dyspnoea and cough and may occur as other manifestations of cGvHD are improving and immunosuppression is being tapered. The differential diagnosis includes infection of the respiratory tract and cryptogenic organising pneumonia which often presents with fever, cough and consolidated infiltrates on chest X-ray and responds to steroid therapy (Chien et al, 2003). Referral to a respiratory physician should be considered in all patients with suspected pulmonary GvHD.

Diagnostic investigations include pulmonary function tests, chest X-ray, high resolution expiratory chest CT scan and bronchoscopy to exclude infection. Pulmonary function tests demonstrate the features of air flow obstruction with a reduction in FEV1, FVC and also in the FEV1/FVC ratio. FEV1 is the most sensitive marker for early obstructive changes and is likely to be the best way of identifying patients at risk of developing clinically significant disease (Dudek et al, 2003; Chien et al, 2010). An FEV1 of < 45% predicted appears strongly predictive of poor outcome (Schwarer et al, 1992). Regular FEV1 monitoring is recommended with...
formal pulmonary function testing or home monitoring of spirometry to allow early detection of problems. Chest radiographs may be normal but can demonstrate a range of changes from focal shadowing to more diffuse changes. High resolution expiratory chest CT scan can show evidence of air trapping, small airway thickening or bronchiectasis and may help in the distinction of bronchiolitis obliterans (BO) from bronchiolitis obliterans with organising pneumonia (BOOP) which has prognostic relevance. Bronchoscopy with broncho-alveolar lavage is an important tool to exclude infection in patients with symptoms suggestive of infection. Lung biopsies have a high complication rate (White et al, 2000) and may be best reserved for patients where there is uncertainty about the diagnosis.

First line treatment consists of augmentation or re-institution of systemic immunosuppression, usually 1mg/kg of oral prednisolone, but is unsatisfactory with a 5 year survival rate as low as 10% as considerable damage may have occurred prior to the onset of clinical symptoms (Dudek et al, 2003). BOOP tends to be more steroid responsive than BO. A small study suggested that monthly-pulsed methylprednisolone (10mg/kg for 3 days) may stabilise lung function in children who develop bronchiolitis obliterans as a manifestation of cGvHD and this approach may be a useful second line option (Ratjen et al, 2005). Lung transplantation may be appropriate in selected patients. A recent report by Lucid et al also reports a response to extracorporeal photopheresis in 6/9 patients with refractory disease (Lucid et al, 2011).

The role of imatinib is systemic treatment of cGvHD is outlined in the guideline on chronic GvHD diagnosis and management (Dignan et al, 2012b). It may be particularly helpful in patients with pulmonary GvHD. Complete or partial responses were observed in 7/11 patients with mild pulmonary cGvHD after six months of treatment (Olivieri et al, 2009). The initial dose used was 100mg once daily which was increased to 200mg after one month in the absence of toxicities. A small pilot study suggested that imatinib shows best responses in those with mild pulmonary cGvHD and is not effective in severe disease (Stadler et al, 2009). A six month trial of imatinib is recommended in patients with pulmonary GvHD who do not respond to 1mg/kg of prednisolone. The initial recommended starting dose is 100mg once daily.

Macrolides, inhaled steroids and leukotriene inhibitors may be helpful adjunctive therapies. Khalid et al used azithromycin in 8 patients with an obstructive defect on pulmonary function tests and reported improvements in forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second (Khalid et al, 2005). These results were not replicated in a recent small randomised controlled trial (Lam et al, 2011). A retrospective study of 17 patients reported a benefit of high-dose inhaled corticosteroids in patients with new onset air-flow obstruction (Bashoura et al, 2008). A pilot study of montelukast showed improvement in 3/5 patients with BOS (Or et al, 2007). A recent report describes a steroid sparing effect of using fluticasone, azithromycin and montelukast therapy in 8 patients (Norman et al, 2010). Supportive care to prevent superadded infection is critical (see below). In this regard, intravenous immunoglobulin may be helpful in this group who are particularly susceptible to infection if immunoglobulin levels are low (Bass et al, 1993). In addition, vaccination against pneumococcus and seasonal influenza is recommended (see vaccination section).
Recommendations:

- Referral to a respiratory physician should be considered in all patients with suspected pulmonary GvHD. (1C)
- All patients with chronic GvHD should be screened with using pulmonary function tests regardless of symptoms. (1A)
- Systemic steroids are recommended in patients with pulmonary GvHD at a dose of 1mg/kg of prednisolone and for those not responding consider pulsed steroids or imatinib. (2C)
- Supportive care including intravenous immunoglobulin, vaccinations and azithromycin is also recommended. (2C)

11. Antimicrobial prophylaxis for infections in GvHD

Patients with cGvHD are highly immunocompromised and infection is the single most important non-relapse cause of mortality in such patients. Infection during acute and chronic GvHD following haematopoietic stem cell transplantation is primarily the consequence of T-cell dysfunction. Hence intra-cellular pathogens, such as the herpes viruses, opportunistic fungi and parasites such as Toxoplasma gondii and Cryptosporidium parvum are the main causes of sepsis. In addition, defective antibody production (particularly of opsonic antibodies) gives rise to an increased risk of infection with encapsulated bacteria, such as Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae. There are very few studies of antimicrobial prophylaxis in the setting of cGvHD so much of the information below is derived from studies undertaken in the general post-transplant setting.

11.1 Antibacterial prophylaxis

In the post-engraftment period the major risk is from encapsulated organisms. Whilst there are no randomised controlled trials to support this, guidelines recommend prophylaxis for S. pneumoniae (Engelhard et al, 2009), given that the risk of infection is more than double that of patients without GvHD (Engelhard et al, 2002). Phenoxymethyl penicillin has been shown to prevent infection in other patient groups and may be suitable. Choice should be based on local antibiotic susceptibility data.

11.2 Antifungal prophylaxis

There are fewer reports of antifungal prophylaxis specifically in patients with GvHD. In retrospective series, itraconazole and weekly liposomal amphotericin B have been reported to have some benefit in reducing the incidence of invasive fungal infections. (Grigg et al, 2004; El Cheikh et al, 2010). In a randomised, double-blind trial, in patients with acute or chronic GvHD on steroids, posaconazole was as least as effective as fluconazole in preventing all fungal infections at the end of 112 days but superior in preventing invasive aspergillosis (2.3% vs. 7%) (Ullman et al, 2007). In a retrospective review, Gergis et al reported that voriconazole may be more effective than fluconazole or itraconazole in preventing invasive fungal infection in patients
receiving glucocorticoids post-transplant (Gergis et al, 2010). In addition, Marks et al compared voriconazole to itraconazole in patients with acute or chronic GvHD. Both agents were effective in preventing invasive fungal infection but voriconazole was tolerated for a significantly longer mean duration (Marks et al, 2011). Prophylaxis with mould active azoles is recommended for patients on high doses of steroids for cGvHD but these studies do not include patients on low doses of steroids (<15mg) and there is no evidence that antifungal prophylaxis is of benefit in this group.

There are no studies of Pneumocystis prophylaxis specifically during GvHD, but the risk of infection is increased in chronic GvHD and prophylaxis should be considered (Chen et al, 2003).

11.3 Antiviral prophylaxis

GvHD is a significant risk factor for a number of herpes virus infections but randomised controlled trials have not been limited to this post-transplant phase alone.

Aciclovir and valaciclovir have been shown to reduce the incidence of CMV reactivation, but not CMV disease, post-transplant (Prentice et al, 1994; Ljungman et al, 2002). IV ganciclovir reduces the risk of CMV disease but has no survival advantage over placebo, because of secondary neutropenia and associated infection (Goodrich et al, 1993; Winston et al, 1993; Boeckh et al, 1996; Winston et al, 2003).

Monitoring of CMV viral load allows prediction of disease and subsequent early preemptive therapy and has been shown to prevent CMV disease post-transplant. Ganciclovir and foscarnet are equally effective and cidofovir also prevents disease but is associated with renal toxicity (Lengerke et al, 2006; Winston et al, 2006; Einsele et al, 2006; Volin et al, 2008; van der Heiden et al, 2006; Ayala et al, 2006; Busca et al, 2007; Reusser et al, 2002; Ljungman et al, 2001; Platzbecker et al, 2001; Cesaro et al, 2005; Bacigalupo et al, 1996; Mattes et al, 2004). Aciclovir prophylaxis has not been specifically studied in the setting of cGvHD but there is evidence that the drug is effective in preventing HSV and VZV disease in the post-transplant setting and therefore may also be beneficial in the setting of cGvHD. (Saral et al, 1981, Gluckman et al, 1983; Hann et al, 1983; Shepp et al, 1987; Bergmann et al, 1995, Ljungman et al, 1986; Perren et al, 1988; Boeckh et al, 2006).

It may also be helpful to monitor patients with cGvHD for EBV reactivation as this may lead to the development of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (Omar et al, 2009).

11.4 Antiparasitic prophylaxis

The incidence of toxoplasmosis is around 0.8% post-HSCT (Martino et al, 2000a). It almost always occurs in seropositive patients (Mele et al, 2002; Martino et al, 2000b) and 77% in a 5 year EBMT survey had prior acute or chronic GvHD (Martino et al, 2000). The majority of cases also occur in patients who are not currently receiving cotrimoxazole for PCP prophylaxis. There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for toxoplasmosis prophylaxis in this setting, but the
recommendations for PCP prophylaxis with cotrimoxazole will provide a potential protective benefit.

Recommendations:

- **Prophylaxis against viral, fungal, *Pneumocystis jiroveci* and *streptococcus pneumoniae* infection should be considered in all patients receiving immunosuppression agents for cGvHD. (1A)**

- **The prophylactic and/or pre-emptive strategy for prevention of CMV infection including regular monitoring of CMV PCR adopted for HSCT recipients should be continued throughout the period of acute and/or chronic GvHD. (1B)**

- **A mould-active azole is recommended for prophylaxis in patients undergoing treatment for GvHD. (1A); suitable agents include Posaconazole and Voriconazole (1A) or Itraconazole with regular monitoring of levels. (2B)**

12. Vaccination

Several guidelines on vaccinations have previously been published (Ljungman *et al*, 2005, Ljungman *et al*, 2009) and a recent report focuses on recommendations in patients with cGvHD (Hilgendorf *et al*, 2011). Previous studies have shown that patients with cGvHD are able to mount a response to vaccination and there is likely to be little benefit to postponing vaccination in these patients until their GvHD has resolved and they have stopped immunosuppression. Hilgendorf *et al* suggest that it may be helpful to wait until patients are receiving <0.5mg/kg of prednisolone and dual agent rather than triple agent immunosuppression as responses may be higher (Hilgendorf *et al*, 2011). The main exception is the administration of live vaccines which are contraindicated in cGvHD patients.

The measurement of antibody levels before and after vaccination may be helpful to establish the level of protection and guide the need for further booster immunisations (Ljungman *et al*, 2009). In view of the significant risk of infection in this population vaccination against pneumococcus, influenza and *Haemophilus influenzae* is particularly prudent. A full vaccination schedule is shown in table 1. Household contacts should also receive routine vaccinations plus the seasonal influenza vaccine (reviewed in Hilgendorf *et al*, 2011).

Recommendations:

- **Live vaccines must not be administered in patients with chronic GvHD. (1A)**

- **All patients with cGvHD should receive vaccination against pneumococcus, influenza and *Haemophilus influenzae*. (1B)**
Table 3: Recommended Vaccination Schedule (adapted from Ljungman et al, 2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Time post transplant</th>
<th>No of doses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal conjugate (PCV)</td>
<td>3-6 months</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Haemophilus influenza</em> conjugate</td>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactivated influenza</td>
<td>4-6 months</td>
<td>1 - yearly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis</td>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactivated polio</td>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningococcal conjugate</td>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Complications associated with long term steroid use

Many patients with cGvHD require long term treatment with corticosteroids. Regular monitoring of blood pressure and checking blood or urine for glucose is recommended at clinic visits. All patients should receive gastric protection with either ranitidine or a proton pump inhibitor. A DEXA scan may be considered in patients who are expected to receive steroid treatment for more than 3 months. DEXA interpretation in children and adolescents should be according to the guidelines published by International Society for Clinical Densitometry (www.iscd.org/visitors/pediatric). All symptoms of back pain should be investigated for vertebral collapse. Correction of deficiency/insufficiency in vitamin D and calcium and mobilization are recommended. Use of bisphosphonates in children with secondary osteoporosis remains controversial because of inadequate long-term efficacy and safety data. For this reason, many experts recommend limiting use of these agents to those children with recurrent extremity fractures, symptomatic vertebral collapse, and reduced bone mass (Bachrach et al, 2009). Measurement of 9 a.m. cortisol can be helpful during the withdrawal of steroids doses. Morning cortisol values of 400nmol/l or more indicate that the dose of steroids can be reduced safely. Recovery of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis can also be monitored by performing a short Synacthen® test. If cortisol peak response to the short Synacthen® test is suboptimal, emergency steroid cover should be provided for acute illness and surgical stress. Adrenal failure should be suspected if there symptoms such as lethargy, malaise, anorexia, headache, nausea and fever. Children should have growth monitored and be referred to an endocrinologist for specialist review.

Recommendation:

- All patients on long-term steroid treatment should have blood pressure and glucose monitored at clinic visits and should receive gastric protection. (1A)
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Appendix 1: GRADE nomenclature for assessing levels of evidence and providing recommendations in guidelines.

STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Strong (grade 1): Strong recommendations (grade 1) are made when there is confidence that the benefits do or do not outweigh harm and burden. Grade 1 recommendations can be applied uniformly to most patients. Regard as ‘recommend’.

Weak (grade 2): Where the magnitude of benefit or not is less certain a weaker grade 2 recommendation is made. Grade 2 recommendations require judicious application to individual patients. Regard as ‘suggest’.

QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

The quality of evidence is graded as high (A), moderate (B) or low (C). To put this in context it is useful to consider the uncertainty of knowledge and whether further research could change what we know or our certainty.

(A) High Further research is very unlikely to change confidence in the estimate of effect. Current evidence derived from randomised clinical trials without important limitations.
(B) Moderate  Further research may well have an important impact on confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Current evidence derived from randomised clinical trials with important limitations (e.g. inconsistent results, imprecision - wide confidence intervals or methodological flaws - e.g. lack of blinding, large losses to follow up, failure to adhere to intention to treat analysis), or very strong evidence from observational studies or case series (e.g. large or very large and consistent estimates of the magnitude of a treatment effect or demonstration of a dose-response gradient).

(C) Low  Further research is likely to have an important impact on confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Current evidence from observational studies, case series or just opinion.
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